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PhD CANDIDATE’S ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| General information | | |
| Name of the postgraduate study programme |  | |
| Title, first and last name of the PhD candidate |  | |
| Identification number of the PhD candidate |  | |
| Reporting period |  | |
| E-mail |  | |
| Mode of study (please tick the box) | full-time | part-time |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. SUPERVISOR/SUPERVISORS | |
| * 1. Supervisor(s) | |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| * 1. Co-supervisor | |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|  |  |
| * 1. Study advisor (if supervisor has not been assigned) | |
| Title, first and last name | Institution, country |
|  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ANNUAL REPORT | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Has a work plan been drawn up? (please tick the box) | | | | | yes  no | | | | |
| * 1. If you ticked „no“ to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended. | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Describe the progress made since the last report (no more than 500 words). | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Provide a brief description of the work plan for the next reporting period (no more than 500 words). | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. List all the difficulties that affect or may affect the course of your studies. | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| * 1. Assistance in course instruction (list courses in whose instruction you participate, indicate type of instruction and workload) | | | | | | | | | |
| Course title | | Type of instruction | | | Workload (hours of instruction per week) | | | | Total (hours of instruction per semester) |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
|  | |  | | |  | | | |  |
| * 1. Participation in research and professional projects (in the past academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Institution | | | From | | To | | Name and type of project | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
| * 1. Participation in professional training abroad (in the past academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | Institution | | | From | | To | | Name | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
| * 1. Participation in scientific and professional conferences (in the past academic year) | | | | | | | | | |
| Institution | | | From | | To | | Conference title and type of presentation/publication | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
|  | | |  | |  | |  | | |
| * 1. Courses required by the study programme curriculum | | | | | | | | | |
| Course | | | | | ECTS credits | | | | Grade |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
|  | | | | |  | | | |  |
| * 1. Scientific papers (attach a printout of all the scientific papers published in the past academic year and indexed in the CROSBY electronic bibliography) | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| EVALUATION OF THE SUPERVISOR | |
| * 1. Please rate the following items on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent) | |
| Clear articulation of research goals and expectations from the PhD candidate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Help in planning annual research activities and professional training. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Regular work with the PhD candidate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Encouragement to publish and help in publishing scientific papers. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Supervisor’s overall relationship with the PhD candidate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

|  |
| --- |
| EVALUATION OF THE POSTGRADUATE STUDY PROGRAMME |
| * 1. Please rate your satisfaction with the quality of the annual postgraduate study programme on a scale from 1 to 5 |
| 1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 – very good  5 - excellent |
| * 1. If you ticked 1 or 2 to the previous question, explain why and suggest how this can be amended. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Place, date and signature |
| Rijeka, dd/mm/yyyyy  Signature  (first and last name of the PhD candidate) |